

REMARKS

Applicants have carefully reviewed the Application in light of the Office Action dated April 9, 2008. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-20, and 22-27 are currently pending. Claim 14 has been amended. New matter has not been added with the amendments to the claims. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application in accordance with the following remarks.

Section 102 Rejections

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-20, and 22-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,745,238 B1 to Giljum et al. ("Giljum"). Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are allowable over the cited reference.

Claim 1 recites "receiving data corresponding to a request to navigate to a particular location within the web environment, wherein the request to publish content is received in connection with a display of the particular location on a user interface and the identified content is published at the particular location." Applicants submit that the Giljum reference fails to teach at least this feature.

Applicants' Specification states that:

the content publishers can navigate to the location within the new site where the added content is to be published. This navigation can be accomplished by navigating through links in the new site to a web page (or a parent web page) where the content is to be published.

(Applicant's Specification, page 5, lines 12-15); and

As a result, the content publishers do not need to know the navigational structure of the site or perform coding of relationships among the web pages to be published.

(Applicant's Specification, page 5, lines 19-21)

The Office Action states that this feature is taught in figures 13 and 15 and column 14, lines 22-35. However, the Giljum reference teaches a Web Site Database that permits information sharing among users and where each user has a personal folder to which the user may add items and control access (Giljum, column 12, lines 51-63). The cited portions of the

Giljum reference teach a screen for adding files to a user's folder (Giljum, column 13, lines 1-3, 52-54, column 14, lines 22-27). Adding a file to a user's folder is not the same as receiving data corresponding to a request to navigate to a particular location within the web environment, wherein the request to publish content is received in connection with a display of the particular location on a user interface and the identified content is published at the particular location. The cited portions of the Giljum reference fails to even discuss requests to navigate to particular locations within a web environment and instead discusses adding a file to a folder. Accordingly, claim 1 and its corresponding dependent claims are allowable over the cited reference.

Claim 14 recites, in part, "wherein the library of components includes predefined components defined in different languages" and "the generated web environment including components specified by the parameters and allowing a user to selectively switch among the different languages for presentation in the generated web environment." The Giljum reference does not teach or suggest this feature and the Office Action agrees (Office Action, page 10). Accordingly, claim 14 and its corresponding dependent claims are allowable over the cited reference.

Section 103 Rejections

Claims 14-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giljum and U.S. Patent No. 7,234,110 B2 to Sumitomo ("Sumitomo"). The Giljum reference fails to teach all the features of claim 14. In addition, the Sumitomo reference fails to rectify the deficiencies of the Giljum reference. Accordingly, claim 14 and its corresponding dependent claims are allowable over the cited art.

Claim 14 recites "present[ing] a user interface adapted to allow a user to configure parameters relating to a set of predefined components for a web environment", "wherein the library of components includes predefined components defined in different languages" and "the generated web environment including components specified by the parameters and allowing a user to selectively switch among the different languages for presentation in the generated web

environment.” For at least the reasons previously mentioned, the Giljum reference fails to teach at least these features of the claim.

In addition, the Sumitomo reference fails to rectify the deficiencies of the Giljum reference. The Office Action states that the Sumitomo reference teaches this feature by teaching that a user requests to selectively switch to a different language at column 5, lines 1-6 and predefined resource files that are described in a plurality of languages at column 5, lines 25-29. However, the cited portions of the Sumitomo reference teach storing dynamic pages corresponding to a plurality of languages. Storing a page in a variety of different languages is not the same as storing predefined components in different languages, where a user interface allows a user to configure parameters relating to a set of predefined components for a web environment and where the generated web environment includes components specified by the parameters. Thus, the Sumitomo reference fails to teach a library of components that includes predefined components defined in different languages to allow a user to selectively switch among the different languages for presentation in the generated web environment. Instead, the Sumitomo reference teaches storing the whole web page in a variety of languages. Accordingly, claim 14 and its corresponding dependent claims are further allowable over the cited art.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

If any extension of time is required, Applicants hereby request the appropriate extension of time. Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 05-0765.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 9, 2008

/Elizabeth Philip Dahm/
Elizabeth Philip Dahm
Reg. No. 51,352

Fish & Richardson P.C.
1717 Main Street, Suite 5000
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: (214) 760-6119
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945